

ON THE "ZERO-TWO" LAW FOR POSITIVE CONTRACTIONS ON BANACH-KANTOROVICH LATTICE $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$

INOMJON GANIEV AND FARRUH MUKHAMEDOV

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we prove the "zero-two" law for positive contractions of lattices $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ of Banach-Kantorovich, constructed by the measure μ with values in the ring of all measurable functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A30, 47A35, 46B42, 46E30, 46G10.

Key words and phrases: Banach-Kantorovich lattice, "zero-two" law, positive contraction.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [W] some properties of the convergence of Banach-valued martingales was described and there connections with the geometrical properties of Banach spaces was established too. In accordance with the development of the theory of Banach-Kantorovich spaces (see [KVP], [K1], [K2], [G1], [G2]) one naturally arises a necessity to study some ergodic properties of positive contractions and martingales defined on these Banach-Kantorovich spaces. In [CG] an analog of individual ergodic theorem for positive contractions of $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ - Banach-Kantorovich space has been established. In [Ga3] the convergence of martingales on such spaces was proved.

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space and let $L^p(X, \mu)$, $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ be the usual real L^p -space. A linear operator $T : L^p(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^p(X, \mu)$ is called positive contraction if for every $x \in L^p(X, \mu)$, $x \geq 0$ we have $Tx \geq 0$ and $\|T\|_p \leq 1$.

In [OS] Ornstein and Sucheston proved that for any positive contraction T on an L^1 -space, either $\|T^n - T^{n+1}\| = 2$ for all n or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n - T^{n+1}\| = 0$. An extension of this result to positive operators on L^∞ -spaces was given by Foguel [F]. In [Z1], [Z2] Zahoropol generalized these results, called "zero-two" laws, his result can be formulated as follows:

Theorem A. *Let T be a positive contraction of $L^p(X, \mu)$, $p > 1, p \neq 2$. If for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the relation $\left\| T^{m+1} - T^m \right\|_p < 2$ is valid, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\|_p = 0.$$

In [KT] this result was generalized for the Köthe spaces.

In the present paper we will prove the "zero-two" law for positive contractions of lattices $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ of Banach-Kantorovich, constructed by the measure μ with values in the ring of all measurable functions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$ be a measurable space with finite measure, $L_0(\Omega)$ be the algebra of all measurable functions on Ω (here the functions equal a.e. are identified) and let $\nabla(\Omega)$ be the Boolean algebra of all idempotents in $L_0(\Omega)$. By ∇ we denote an arbitrary complete Boolean subalgebra of $\nabla(\Omega)$.

A mapping $\mu : \nabla \rightarrow L_0(\Omega)$ is called a $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued measure if the following conditions are satisfied

- 1) $\mu(e) \geq 0$ for all $e \in \nabla$;
- 2) $\mu(e \vee g) = \mu(e) + \mu(g)$, if $e, g \in \nabla$, $e \wedge g = 0$;
- 3) if $e_n \downarrow 0$, $e_n \in \nabla$, $n = \overline{1, \infty}$, then $\mu(e_n) \downarrow 0$.

A $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued measure is called *strictly positive* if $\mu(e) = 0$, $e \in \nabla$ implies $e = 0$.

In the sequel we will consider strictly positive $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued measure μ with the following property $\mu(ge) = g\mu(e)$ for all $e \in \nabla$ and $g \in \nabla(\Omega)$.

By $X(\nabla)$ we denote an extremal completely non-connected compact, corresponding to a Boolean algebra ∇ , and by $L_0(\nabla)$ it is denoted an algebra of all continuous functions on $X(\nabla)$, which take the values $\pm\infty$ on no-where dense sets in $X(\nabla)$ [S]. It is clear that $L_0(\Omega)$ is a subalgebra of $L_0(\nabla)$.

Following [B],[S] the known scheme of construction of L^p -spaces, it can be defined a space $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ as follows

$$L^p(\nabla, \mu) = \left\{ f \in L_0(\nabla) : \int |f|^p d\mu - \text{exist} \right\}, \quad p \geq 1$$

here μ is a $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued measure on ∇ .

Let E be a linear space over the real field $\mathbb{R}$. By $\|\cdot\|$ we denote a $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued norm on E . Then the pair $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a *lattice-normed space (LNS) over $L_0(\Omega)$* . An LNS E is said to be *d-decomposable* if for every $x \in E$ and decomposition $\|x\| = f + g$ with f and g disjoint positive elements in $L_0(\Omega)$ there exist $y, z \in E$ such that $x = y + z$ and $\|y\| = f$, $\|z\| = g$.

Suppose that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is an LNS over $L_0(\Omega)$. A net $\{x_\alpha\}$ of elements of E is said to be *(bo)-converging* to $x \in E$ (in this case we write $x = (bo)\text{-lim } x_\alpha$), if the net $\{\|x_\alpha - x\|\}$ *(o)-converges* to zero in $L_0(\Omega)$ (written as $(o)\text{-lim } \|x_\alpha - x\| = 0$). A net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is called *(bo)-fundamental* if $(x_\alpha - x_\beta)_{(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times A}$ *(bo)-converges* to zero.

An LNS in which every *(bo)-fundamental* net *(bo)-converges* is called *(bo)-complete*. A *Banach-Kantorovich space (BKS) over $L_0(\Omega)$* is a *(bo)-complete d-decomposable LNS over $L_0(\Omega)$* . It is well known [K1],[K2] that every BKS E over $L_0(\Omega)$ admits an $L_0(\Omega)$ -module structure such that $\|fx\| = |f| \cdot \|x\|$ for every $x \in E$, $f \in L_0(\Omega)$, where $|f|$ is the modulus of a function $f \in L_0(\Omega)$.

It is known [K1] that $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ is a BKS over $L_0(\Omega)$ with respect to $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued norm $|f|_p = \left(\int |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}$. Moreover, $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ is a module over $L_0(\Omega)$.

It is natural that these $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ spaces should have many of analogical properties of the classical L^p -spaces, constructed by real valued measures. The proofs of such properties can be realized by using one of the following methods:

1. Step by step repeating all steps of the known proofs of classical L^p -spaces, accounting specially properties of $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued measures.
2. Using Boolean-valued analysis, which gives a possibility to reduce $L_0(\Omega)$ -modulus $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ to the classical L^p -spaces, in the corresponding set theory.
3. Representation of $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ as a measurable bundle of classical L^p -spaces.

The first methods really is not effective, since it has to repeat all known steps of the proofs modifying them to $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued measures. The second one connected with the use of drawing an enough labour-intensive apparatus of Boolean-valued analysis and its realization requires a huge preparatory work, which connects with an establishing of intercommunications in ordinary and Boolean-valued methods for studied objects of the set theory.

More natural way to investigate the properties of $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ is the using of the third method. Since, one has a sufficiently well explored theory of measurable decompositions of Banach lattices [G1]. Therefore, its an effective using gives a possibility to obtain various properties of BKS[Ga1],[Ga2].

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be the same as above and let X be a assisting a real Banach space $X(\omega)$ to each point $\omega \in \Omega$. A *section* of X is a function u defined λ -almost everywhere in Ω that takes values $u(\omega) \in X(\omega)$ for all ω in the domain $dom(u)$ of u .

Let L be a set of sections. The pair (X, L) is called a *measurable Banach bundle over Ω* if

- (1) $\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 \in L$ for every $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_1, u_2 \in L$, where $\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 : \omega \in dom(u_1) \cap dom(u_2) \rightarrow \lambda_1 u_1(\omega) + \lambda_2 u_2(\omega)$;
- (2) the function $\|u\| : \omega \in dom(u) \rightarrow \|u(\omega)\|_{X(\omega)}$ is measurable for every $u \in L$;
- (3) the set $\{u(\omega) : u \in L, \omega \in dom(u)\}$ is dense in $X(\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

A section s is called *step-section* if it has the form

$$s(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{A_i}(\omega) u_i(\omega),$$

for some $u_i \in L$, $A_i \in \Sigma$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where χ_A is the indicator of a set A . A section u is called *measurable* if for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\lambda(A) < \infty$ there exists a sequence of step-functions $\{s_n\}$ such that $s_n(\omega) \rightarrow u(\omega)$ λ -almost everywhere on A .

Denote by $M(\Omega, X)$ the set all measurable sections, and by $L_0(\Omega, X)$ the factor space of $M(\Omega, X)$ over the equivalence relation of equality a.e. Clearly, $L_0(\Omega, X)$ is an $L_0(\Omega)$ -module. We denote the equivalence class of an element $u \in M(\Omega, X)$ by $\hat{u}$. The norm of $\hat{u} \in L_0(\Omega, X)$ is defined as a class of equivalence containing the function $\|u(\omega)\|_{X(\omega)}$, i.e. $\|\hat{u}\| = (\|u(\omega)\|_{X(\omega)})$.

In [G1] it was proved that $L_0(\Omega, X)$ is a BKS over $L_0(\Omega)$. Furthermore, for every BKS E over $L_0(\Omega)$ there exists a measurable Banach bundle (X, L) over Ω such that E is isomorphic to $L_0(\Omega)$.

Put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, X) &= \{u \in M(\Omega, X) : \|u(\omega)\|_{X(\omega)} \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)\}, \\ L^\infty(\Omega, X) &= \{\hat{u} \in L_0(\Omega, X) : u \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, X), u \in \hat{u}\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)$ is the set all bounded measurable functions on Ω .

In the spaces $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, X)$ and $L^\infty(\Omega, X)$ it can be defined real-valued norms as follows $\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, X)} = \sup_\omega \|u(\omega)\|_{X(\omega)}$ and $\|\hat{u}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, X)} = \|\|\hat{u}\|\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, respectively.

A BKS $(\mathcal{U}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a *Banach-Kantorovich lattice* if $\mathcal{U}$ is a vector lattice and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is monotone, i.e. $|u_1| \leq |u_2|$ implies $\|u_1\| \leq \|u_2\|$. It is known [K1] that the cone $\mathcal{U}_+$ of positive elements is (bo)-closed. Note the space $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ is a Banach-Kantorovich lattice [K1].

Let X be a mapping assisting an L^p -space constructed by real-valued measure μ_ω , i.e. $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ to each point $\omega \in \Omega$ and let

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, e_i(\omega) \in \nabla_\omega, i = \overline{1, n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

be a set of sections. It is known [Ga2] that the pair (X, L) is a measurable bundle of Banach lattices and $L_0(\Omega, X)$ is modulo ordered isomorphic to $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$.

Let p be a lifting in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ (see [G1]). Let as before ∇ be an arbitrary complete Boolean subalgebra of $\nabla(\Omega)$ and let μ be an $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued measure on ∇ . The set of all essentially bounded functions w.r.t. μ from $L_0(\nabla)$ is denoted by $L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$.

It is known [CG] that there exists a mapping $\ell : L^\infty(\nabla, \mu) (\subset L^\infty(\Omega, X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, X)$, which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\ell(\hat{u}) \in \hat{u}$ for all $\hat{u}$ such that $\text{dom}(\hat{u}) = \Omega$;
- (2) $\|\ell(\hat{u})\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} = p(|\hat{u}|_p)(\omega)$;
- (3) $\ell(\hat{u} + \hat{v}) = \ell(\hat{u}) + \ell(\hat{v})$ for every $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$;
- (4) $\ell(h \cdot \hat{u}) = p(h)\ell(\hat{u})$ for every $\hat{u} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$, $h \in L^\infty(\Omega)$;
- (5) $\ell(\hat{u})(\omega) \geq 0$ whenever $\hat{f} \geq 0$;
- (6) the set $\{\ell(\hat{u})(\omega) : \hat{u} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)\}$ is dense in $X(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$;
- (7) $\ell(\hat{u} \vee \hat{v}) = \ell(\hat{u}) \vee \ell(\hat{v})$ for every $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$.

The mapping ℓ is called a *vector-valued lifting* on $L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$ associated with the lifting p (cp. [G1]).

Let as before $p \geq 1$ and $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ be a Banach-Kantorovich lattice, and let $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ be the corresponding L^p -spaces constructed by real valued measures. Let $T : L^p(\nabla, \mu) \rightarrow L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ be a linear mapping. As usually we will say that T is *positive* if $T\hat{f} \geq 0$ for every $\hat{f} \geq 0$.

Suppose that T is a $L_0(\Omega)$ -bounded mapping, namely, there exists a function $k \in L_0(\Omega)$ such that $|T\hat{f}|_p \leq k|\hat{f}|_p$ for all $\hat{f} \in L^p(\nabla, \mu)$. In this case we can define an element of $L_0(\Omega)$ as follows

$$\|T\| = \sup_{|\hat{f}|_p \leq \mathbf{1}} |T\hat{f}|_p,$$

which is called an $L_0(\Omega)$ -valued norm of T . If $\|T\| \leq \mathbf{1}$ then a mapping T is said to be a *contraction*.

Now we give an example of nontrivial contraction.

Example. Let $(\Omega, \nabla, \lambda)$ be a measurable space with finite measure and let ∇_0 be a right Boolean subalgebra of ∇ . λ_0 denotes the restriction of λ onto ∇_0 . Now let $E(\cdot|\nabla_0)$ be a conditional expectation from $L_1(\Omega, \nabla, \lambda)$ onto $L_1(\Omega, \nabla_0, \lambda_0)$. It is clear that $\mu(\hat{e}) = E(\hat{e}|\nabla_0)$ is a strictly positive $L_1(\Omega, \nabla_0, \lambda_0)$ -valued measure on ∇ .

Let ∇_1 be another arbitrary right Boolean subalgebra of ∇ such that $\nabla_1 \supset \nabla_0$. By μ_1 we denote the restriction of μ onto ∇_1 . According to Theorem 4.2.9[K1] there exists an conditional expectation $T : L_1(\nabla, \mu) \rightarrow L_1(\nabla_1, \mu_1)$ such that it is positive and maps $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ onto $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ for all $p > 1$. Moreover, $|T\hat{f}|_p \leq |\hat{f}|_p$ for every $\hat{f} \in L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ and $T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

In the sequel we will need the following

Theorem 2.1. *Let $T : L^p(\nabla, \mu) \rightarrow L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ be a positive linear contraction such that $T\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{1}$. Then for every $\omega \in \Omega$ there exists a positive contraction $T_\omega : L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega) \rightarrow L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ such that $T_\omega f(\omega) = (T\hat{f})(\omega)$ λ -a.s. for every $\hat{f} \in L^p(\nabla, \mu)$.*

Proof. The positivity of T implies that $|T\hat{f}| \leq T|\hat{f}| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_\infty \mathbf{1}$ for every $\hat{f} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$, i.e. the operator T maps from $L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$ to $L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$ and it is continuous in norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, where $\|f\|_\infty = \text{varisup}|f|$. It is easy to see that for $\hat{f} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$ we have $|T\hat{f}|_p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $|\hat{f}|_p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Define a linear operator $\varphi(\omega)$ from $\{\ell(\hat{f})(\omega) : \hat{f} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)\}$ to $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ as follows

$$\varphi(\omega)(\ell(\hat{f})(\omega)) = \ell(T\hat{f})(\omega),$$

where ℓ is the vector-valued lifting in $L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$ associated with the lifting p . From $|T\hat{f}|_p \leq |\hat{f}|_p$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ell(T\hat{f})(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} &= p(|T\hat{f}|_p)(\omega) \leq \\ &\leq p(|\hat{f}|_p)(\omega) = \|\ell(\hat{f})(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the operator $\varphi(\omega)$ is correct defined and it is bounded. Using the fact that $\{\ell(\hat{f})(\omega) : \hat{f} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)\}$ is dense in $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ we can

extend $\varphi(\omega)$ to a continuous linear operator on $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$. This extension is denoted by T_ω .

Show that T_ω is positive. Indeed, let $f(\omega) \in L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ and $f(\omega) \geq 0$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\hat{f}_n\} \subset L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$ such that $\ell(\hat{f}_n)(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega)$ in norm of $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$. Consider $\hat{g}_n = \hat{f}_n \vee 0$. Then $\hat{g}_n \geq 0$, and according to the properties of the vector-valued lifting ℓ we infer

$$\ell(\hat{g}_n)(\omega) = \ell(\hat{f}_n)(\omega) \vee 0 \rightarrow f(\omega) \vee 0 = f(\omega)$$

in norm of $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$. Whence

$$0 \leq \ell(T\hat{g}_n)(\omega) = \varphi(\omega)(\ell(\hat{g}_n)(\omega)) \rightarrow T_\omega(f(\omega)),$$

this means $T_\omega f(\omega) \geq 0$. It is clear that $\|T_\omega\|_{p,\omega} \leq 1$ and $T_\omega f(\omega) = (T\hat{f})(\omega)$ a.s. for every $\hat{f} \in L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$, here $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the norm of operator from $L^\infty(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ to $L^\infty(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$.

Now let $\hat{f} \in L^p(\nabla, \mu)$. Since $L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$ is (bo) -dense in $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$, then there is a sequence $\{\hat{f}_n\} \subset L^\infty(\nabla, \mu)$ such that $|\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}|_p \xrightarrow{(o)} 0$. Then $\|f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} \rightarrow 0$ for almost all ω . The equality $T\hat{f} = |\cdot|_p\text{-}\lim_n T\hat{f}_n$ implies that

$$\|T_\omega f_n(\omega) - (T\hat{f})(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} = \|(T\hat{f}_n)(\omega) - (T\hat{f})(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } \omega$$

this means that $(T\hat{f})(\omega) = \lim_n T_\omega f_n(\omega)$ a.s. On the other hand the continuity of T_ω yields that $\lim_n T_\omega f_n(\omega) = T_\omega f(\omega)$ a.s. Hence for every $\hat{f} \in L^p(\nabla, \mu)$ we have $(T\hat{f})(\omega) = T_\omega f(\omega)$ a.s. This completes the proof.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we will prove an analog of Theorem A formulated in the introduction.

Before proving main result we give some useful assertions.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $T^{(i)} : L^p(\nabla, \mu) \rightarrow L^p(\nabla, \mu)$, $i = 1, 2$ be positive linear contractions such that $T\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{1}$. Then*

$$\|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}\|(\omega) = \|T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}\|_{p,\omega}, \quad a.e.$$

here as before $\|\cdot\|_{p,\omega}$ is the norm of operator from $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ to $L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1 we have $T_\omega^{(i)} f(\omega) = (T^{(i)} \hat{f})(\omega)$, $i = 1, 2$ a.e. for every $\hat{f} \in L^p(\hat{\nabla}, \hat{\mu})$. Using this fact we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T^{(1)} - T^{(2)})\hat{f}\|_p(\omega) &= \|((T^{(1)} - T^{(2)})\hat{f})(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} = \\ &= \|(T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)})f(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} \leq \\ &\leq \|T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}\|_{p,\omega} \|f(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}\|(\omega) \leq \|T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}\|_{p,\omega}, \quad a.e. \quad (1)$$

By similar reasoning we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)})f(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} &= |(T^{(1)} - T^{(2)})\hat{f}|_p(\omega) \leq \\
&\leq \left(\|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}\| \|\hat{f}\|_p \right)(\omega) = \\
&= \|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}\|(\omega) \|\hat{f}\|_p(\omega) = \\
&= \|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}\|(\omega) \|f_\omega\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}\|(\omega) \geq \|T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}\|_{p, \omega} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

This inequality with (1) implies the required equality. This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $T^{(i)} : L^p(\nabla, \mu) \rightarrow L^p(\nabla, \mu)$, $i = 1, 2$ be positive linear contractions such that $T\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{1}$. Then*

$$\left\| |T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}| \right\|_{p, \omega} \leq \left\| |T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| \right\|(\omega), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

here $|\cdot|$ means the modulus of an operator.

Proof. Using the formula

$$|Ax| \leq |A||x|,$$

where $A : E \rightarrow E$ is a linear operator and E is a vector lattice (see [V], p.231), we have

$$|(T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)})g(\omega)| \leq \left(|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| |\hat{g}| \right)(\omega) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

for every $\hat{g} \in L^p(\nabla, \mu)$.

Let $|\hat{g}| \leq |\hat{f}|$, where $\hat{f} \in L^p(\nabla, \mu)$, then $|g(\omega)| \leq |f(\omega)|$. This implies

$$|(T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)})g(\omega)| \leq \left(|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| |\hat{f}| \right)(\omega) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Now using the formula

$$|A|x = \sup_{|y| \leq x} |Ay|,$$

where A is as above and $x \geq 0$ (see [V], p.231) we get

$$|T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}| |f(\omega)| = \sup_{|g(\omega)| \leq |f(\omega)|} |(T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)})g(\omega)| \leq \left(|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| |\hat{f}| \right)(\omega).$$

Then the monotonicity of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(|T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}| |f| \right) (\omega) \right\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} &\leq \left\| \left(|T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| |\hat{f}| \right) (\omega) \right\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} = \\
&= \left| |T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| |\hat{f}| \right|_p (\omega) \leq \\
&\leq \left(\left\| |T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| \right\| \left\| |\hat{f}| \right\|_p \right) (\omega) = \\
&= \left\| |T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| \right\| (\omega) |f|_p (\omega) = \\
&= \left\| |T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| \right\| (\omega) \|f(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| |T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}| \right\|_{p, \omega} &= \sup_{\|f(\omega)\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} \leq 1} \left\| |T_\omega^{(1)} - T_\omega^{(2)}| f(\omega) \right\|_{L^p(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)} \leq \\
&\leq \left\| |T^{(1)} - T^{(2)}| \right\| (\omega) \quad a.e.
\end{aligned}$$

The next theorem is an analog of theorem in [Z2] for positive contractions of $L^1(\nabla, \mu)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $T : L^1(\nabla, \mu) \rightarrow L^1(\nabla, \mu)$, be a positive linear contraction such that $T\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{1}$. If for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the relation $\|T^{m+1} - T^m\| < 2\mathbf{1}$ is valid. Then*

$$(o) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\| = 0.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1 there exist positive contractions $T_\omega : L^1(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega) \rightarrow L^1(\nabla_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ such that $(T\hat{f})(\omega) = T_\omega(f(\omega))$ a.e. From Proposition 3.1 we get $\|T_\omega^{m+1} - T_\omega^m\|_{p, \omega} = \|T^{m+1} - T^m\|(\omega)$ a.e. The condition of theorem implies $\|T_\omega^{m+1} - T_\omega^m\|_{p, \omega} < 2$ a.e. Hence the contractions T_ω are satisfy the condition of Theorem 1.1. [OS] a.e., therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_\omega^{n+1} - T_\omega^n\|_{p, \omega} = 0 \quad a.e.$$

As $\|T_\omega^{n+1} - T_\omega^n\|_{p, \omega} = \|T^{n+1} - T^n\|(\omega)$ a.e. we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\|(\omega) = 0 \quad a.e.$$

therefore

$$(o) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\| = 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

Now we can prove the following theorem, which is an analog of Theorem A for the Banach-Kantorovich lattice $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $T : L^p(\nabla, \mu) \rightarrow L^p(\nabla, \mu)$, $p > 1, p \neq 2$ be a positive linear contraction such that $T\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{1}$. If for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the relation $\|T^{m+1} - T^m\| < 2\mathbf{1}$ is valid. Then*

$$(o) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\| = 0.$$

The proof uses the similar argument as Theorem 3.3, here instead of Proposition 3.1 it should be used Proposition 3.2.

REFERENCES

- [B] Benderskiy O.Ya. $L^p(m)$ -space for vector valued measures with values in topological seffield. // Dokl. Acad. nauk UzSSR, 1976, n.9, 3-4 (Russian).
- [CG] Chilin V.I. and Ganiev I.G. In individual ergodic theorem for contractions on Banach-Kantorovich Lattices $L^p(\nabla, \mu)$. // Izvestiya Vuzov. ser. matem. **485**(2000), n. 7, 81-83(Russian).
- [Ga1] Ganiev I.G. A measurable bundles of Banach lattices. // Uzbek Math. Jour. **5**(1998), 14-21 (Russian).
- [Ga2] Ganiev I.G. A measurable bundles of metricable topological spaces. // Dokl. Akad. Nauk Rep.Uzb. **4**(1999), 8-11 (Russian).
- [Ga3] Ganiev I.G. Martingales in the Banach-Kantorovich's lattices $L_p(\hat{\nabla}, \hat{\mu})$. // Proc. of int. conf. Math. and its appl. in new millenium. Univ. Putra Malaysia. 2001, 52-59
- [G1] Gutman A.E. Banach bundles in the theory of lattice-normed spaces, III. // Siberien Adv. Math. **3**(1993), n.4, 8-40
- [G2] Gutman A.E. Banach bundles in the theory of lattice-normed spaces. Linear operator agreed with order. // Trudy Ross. Akad. Nauk Sibir. otd. Inst. Matem. **29**(1995), 63-211. (Russian)
- [F] Foguel S.R. On the "zero-two" law. // Israel J. Math. **10**(1971), 275-280.
- [KVP] Kantorovich L.V., Vulih B.Z. and Pinsker A.G. Functional analysis on semiordered spaces. Moscow, 1950 (Russian).
- [KT] Katznelson Y. and Tzafriri L. On power bounded operators. // J. Funct. Anal. **68**(1986), 313-328.
- [K1] Kusraev A.G. Vector duality and its applications. Novosibirsk, Nauka, 1985 (Russian).
- [K2] Kusraev A.G. Dominanted operators. Kluwer AP, 2000.
- [OS] Ornstein D. and Sucheston L. An operator theorem on L_1 convergence to zero with applications to Markov kernels. // Ann. Math. Statis. **41**(1970), 1631-1639.
- [S] Sarymsakov T.A. Topological semifields and its applications. Tashkent, Fan, 1989 (Russian).
- [V] Vulih B.Z. Introduction to thoery of partially ordered spaces. Moscow, 1961 (Russian). English trans. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1967.
- [W] Woyczynski W.A. Geometry and martingales in Banach spaces. // Lect. Notes Math. **472**(1975) 235-283.
- [Z1] Zaharopol R. The modulus of a regular liniear operators and the "zero-two" law in L^p -spaces ($1 < p < \infty, p \neq 2$). // J. Funct. Anal. **68**(1986), 300-312.
- [Z2] Zaharopol R. On the "zero-two" law for positive contractions. // Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. **32**(1989), 363-370.

INOMJON GANIEV, TASHKENT RAILWAY INSTITUTE, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN

E-mail address: `inam@tps.uz`

FARRUKH MUKHAMEDOV, DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL
UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN, VUZGORODOK, 700174, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN

E-mail address: `far75m@yandex.ru`